

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

---

TIMOTHY C. PIGFORD, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 97-1978 (PLF)
MIKE JOHANNNS, Secretary,	)	
The United States Department	)	
of Agriculture,	)	
Defendant.	)	

---

---

CECIL BREWINGTON, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 98-1693 (PLF)
MIKE JOHANNNS, Secretary,	)	
The United States Department	)	
of Agriculture,	)	
Defendant.	)	

---

MONITOR’S REPORT ON AMENDED ADJUDICATOR DECISIONS

I. PURPOSE OF REPORT

On February 23, 2006, this Court issued a Memorandum Opinion and Order requiring the Monitor to investigate and report about the following details of amended Adjudicator decisions:

1. The number of Adjudicator decisions that have been amended such that the “Amended” decision resulted in substantive changes to the relief awarded in the initial Adjudicator decision.
2. The relief, if any, that was awarded in each of the substantively “Amended” Adjudicator decisions, and how it differed from the relief awarded in any earlier Adjudicator decisions on the same claims.

3. Whether any of those class members receiving an “Amended” Adjudicator decision have petitioned for Monitor review pursuant to Paragraph 12(b)(iii) of the Consent Decree on the basis of either the original or the “Amended” decision.

4. What the outcome has been, if any, of those Monitor reviews.

5. What relief, if any, class members whose Adjudicator decisions were substantively amended have actually received from the government.

Additionally, the Court required the Monitor to provide actual and unaltered copies of the original and amended decisions sent to any and all class members who received an amended decision.

This report is being filed to comply with the Court’s Order.

All documents containing class members’ claim numbers and all copies of Adjudicator decisions are being filed under seal. Copies of documents filed under seal will be provided to Class Counsel and to the government at the time of filing. All potentially identifying documents are being filed under seal to protect the privacy of individual class members.

## II. BACKGROUND

The Facilitator has provided information about amended Adjudicator decisions issued for a group of forty-six claims involving class members who checked the “Conservation Loan” box on the Claim Sheet and Election Form. This group includes the claim brought by Elmore and Ludean Hicks.<sup>1</sup> The Facilitator has also provided information about other amended Adjudicator decisions. All of the amended Adjudicator decisions issued to date in this case are described more fully in this report and its exhibits. This background section of the report provides some context for the statistics that appear in later sections.

---

<sup>1</sup> A motion filed by the Hickses to enforce the Consent Decree triggered the proceedings in this matter. *See* Memorandum Opinion and Order, February 23, 2006, at 1-3.

### A. Source of Data

Because the Facilitator is the repository of most data on individual class members, the Monitor has relied on the Facilitator to provide the information used in this report. The Monitor has not been able to independently verify the data provided by the Facilitator.

### B. Credit Claims and Non-Credit Claims

In large part, this report concerns Adjudicator decisions that were amended such that class members' awards of "credit" relief were rescinded and replaced with "non-credit" relief. To understand the data presented with this report, it is important to understand some basic background about the two types of relief.

Paragraph 9(a) of the Consent Decree sets forth the relief for credit claims.<sup>2</sup> This relief includes a payment of \$50,000 cash relief, as well as tax relief, debt relief, and injunctive relief.

Paragraph 9(b) sets forth the relief for non-credit farm benefit program claims. Paragraph 9(b) states that USDA shall pay to the class member "the amount of the benefit wrongly denied, but only to the extent that funds that may lawfully be used for that purpose are then available." Paragraph 9(b) also provides for some types of injunctive relief. On February 7, 2001, the parties stipulated and the Court ordered that the cash portion of relief for a non-credit claim would be set at \$3,000, regardless of the type of farm benefit program at issue and regardless of the amount of benefit wrongly denied.

The distinction between a credit claim and a non-credit claim is not necessarily an obvious one on first impression. This is true for at least two reasons. First, over the course of the

---

<sup>2</sup> The Consent Decree defines "credit" at paragraph 1(f) as "the right granted by a creditor to a debtor to defer payment of debt or to incur debt and defer its payment or to purchase property or services and defer payment therefore."

sixteen years of the class period, the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) has offered a wide array of credit and non-credit programs, some of which lasted only briefly. In describing its many programs to farmers, USDA does not use the terms “credit” and “non-credit.” As a result, class members who are familiar with USDA programs would have no familiarity with the distinction made in the Consent Decree between credit and non-credit programs.

Second, there is considerable overlap between the purposes of USDA credit and non-credit programs. For example, USDA’s Emergency Loan program is a credit program designed to assist farmers in the midst of recovering from a natural disaster, such as a flood or drought. Numerous non-credit USDA disaster programs also provided direct financial assistance or payments to farmers in the wake of natural disasters. This same type of overlap exists in USDA conservation programs. A USDA credit program, the Soil and Water Loan program, provided loans to farmers to accomplish certain conservation goals during the class period. Similarly, many USDA noncredit programs used other mechanisms, such as cost shares in the Agricultural Conservation Program or long-term contracts in the Conservation Reserve Program, to accomplish resource conservation goals.

### C. Meaning of “Substantive” and “Technical” Amendments

#### 1. “Substantive” and “Technical” In the Court’s Order

The Court’s Order of February 23, 2006, requests information on amended Adjudicator decisions that resulted in substantive changes to the relief awarded to the claimant. The Court distinguished substantive amendments that affect relief from technical amendments that do not affect the relief, stating:

The Court recognizes the need for a certain degree of flexibility in administering the Consent Decree and allowing for circumstances not

specifically addressed in it. For example, the Court can contemplate the necessity of the Arbitrator or Adjudicator reissuing a decision for the purpose of correcting a clerical error, such as a misspelled name, a misplaced decimal point, or an incorrect mailing address.

*Pigford v. Johanns*, Civ. No. 97-1978, at 3 n.1 (D.D.C. Feb. 23, 2006). The Court distinguished technical amendments like those described in the above quote from substantive amendments which change the class members' relief.

## 2. "Substantive" and "Technical" In the Facilitator's Database

The data available to the Monitor for this report comes from the Facilitator's database. The Facilitator categorizes amendments as "substantive" or "technical" based on somewhat different criteria than those articulated in the Court's Order.

### a. *Meaning of "Substantive Amendments" in the Facilitator's Database*

As the Facilitator explains in the letter attached to this report as Exhibit A, in the Facilitator's database, "substantive" amendments are "amendments [that] changed the claimants' relief after review by the Adjudicator." Exhibit A, at 1. In the Facilitator's nomenclature, "substantive amendments" resulted when the Adjudicator reviewed a claim and changed the Adjudicator's decision by adding, subtracting, or changing relief. Amendments that meet this definition of "substantive" were made both in the review of the Conservation Loan group (explained below) and also in certain other claims when potential errors in the decisions were called to the Facilitator's and Adjudicator's attention by internal quality control audits, by contact from a claimant, or by contact from the government.

*b. Meaning of “Technical Amendments” in the Facilitator’s Database*

In the Facilitator’s nomenclature, “technical amendments” are amendments which:

[E]ither did not affect the claimants’ relief or affected the claimants’ relief solely because of the correction of administrative or clerical errors. None of these amendments resulted from a substantive re-review by the Adjudicator, or from any agreement of the parties.

Exhibit A, at 3.

The Facilitator reports that the vast majority of amendments that the Facilitator classified as technical were made to correct identifying information (such as social security number, spelling of name, gender salutation, or address), and therefore did not affect the class members’ relief. However, in approximately sixty-one of the amendments classified as technical by the Facilitator, despite the fact that the reason for the amendment was the correction of an administrative or clerical error, the relief awarded in the amended paperwork may have differed from the relief awarded in the original paperwork. The Facilitator’s letter, attached as Exhibit A, explains the process used to publish Adjudicator decisions and provides examples of technical corrections that would have caused the amended paperwork to provide different relief than the original paperwork. Two examples of this type of technical amendment are: (1) corrections to decisions in which the text appeared in the wrong “jacket” (the Adjudicator’s decision text found discrimination and awarded the claimant relief, but the “jacket”—pages one and three of the decision—contained the language for a losing decision, or vice versa); and (2) corrections to decisions containing mismatched relief (for example, the automated issuance of the relief text on page three of the decision specified the wrong years for debt relief or the wrong type of farm loan program for debt relief). The Monitor’s understanding is that the Facilitator characterizes these amendments as technical because the need for the amendment arose from an administrative

or clerical error in generating pages one and/or three of the decision—not from a re-review by the Adjudicator, or from any agreement of the parties.

Because the data compiled by the Facilitator defined substantive and technical amendments to Adjudicator decisions in ways that do not match the definitions set out in the Court’s Order of February 23, 2006, the distinction between substantive and technical changes to an Adjudicator’s decision can be confusing. In this report, the use of the terms “substantive” and “technical” generally comport with the meanings of those terms in the Facilitator’s database.

#### D. Background Regarding Review of Certain “Conservation Loan” Claims

The Claim Sheet and Election Form (Claim Sheet) that was adopted by the parties and approved by the Court required class members to indicate in which of five specific federal farm programs the class member sought to participate during the class period. The five choices were: (1) Operating Loan, (2) Farm Ownership Loan, (3) Emergency Loan, (4) Conservation Loan, and (5) Non-credit Benefit Program. Despite the use of the term “Conservation Loan” on the Claim Sheet, USDA did not have a specific loan program called the “Conservation Loan” program. As described above, the agency offered both loans (“credit” programs) and payments under farm benefit programs (“non-credit” programs) which could be used for conservation purposes.

The parties began processing completed Claim Sheets and implementing the Consent Decree relief for successful class members prior to the Monitor’s appointment. The Monitor has learned that in the early stages of the implementation process, questions arose regarding the appropriate relief for claims in which class members had checked the “Conservation Loan” box on the Claim Sheet. In response to those questions, the Facilitator identified a group of “Conservation Loan” claims that were reviewed by the Adjudicator early in the claims

implementation process. This group of claims will be referred to in this report as the “Conservation Loan” group. The Hickses’ claim was a part of this group.

The Facilitator provided background about the amended decisions in the Conservation Loan group by supplying the Monitor with the letter attached to this report as Exhibit A. In essence, the letter explains that in early 2000, the parties and the Facilitator conferred about the proper classification of claims in which class members had checked the “Conservation Loan” box on the Claim Sheet. The Facilitator identified a group of several hundred claims that would be reviewed to determine whether the claim was properly a credit claim arising out of a loan from USDA or a non-credit claim arising out of one of the many benefit programs USDA offered for conservation purposes. As a result of this review, the Adjudicator issued amended decisions in forty-three claims.<sup>3</sup> In forty-two of those claims, the relief changed from a credit payment of \$50,000 to non-credit relief; in one of those claims, the relief changed from credit and non-credit relief to solely credit relief.

### III. STATISTICS

This section of the report provides the statistics requested by the Court in its February 23, 2006, Order. The statistics are provided in tables that are attached to this report as Exhibits B, C, D, and E. In those exhibits, claim numbers have been deleted and “unique claimant identification numbers” have been used instead. Simultaneous with the filing of this report, the Monitor is filing under seal a duplicate set of exhibits that list both the unique claimant identification numbers and the claim numbers.

---

<sup>3</sup> The Facilitator reports there were a total of 46 amended decisions in the Conservation Loan group. The Facilitator classified 43 of the 46 amended decisions as substantive amendments and classified three of the 46 amended decisions as technical amendments.



The data in these exhibits has been provided by the Facilitator. The Monitor has not independently verified this data.

A. Number of Substantively Amended Decisions

The Court's Order asked the Monitor to report about the number of Adjudicator decisions that have been amended such that the amended decision resulted in substantive changes to the relief awarded in the initial Adjudicator decision. The Facilitator reports there are a total of sixty-six claims where changes that the Facilitator classified as substantive were made based on a review of the claim by the Adjudicator. The Facilitator reports that forty-three of these sixty-six amended decisions are in the Conservation Loan group. These claims are listed with unique identifiers of numbers 1 through 43 in Exhibit B. The Facilitator further reports that twenty-three of the sixty-six amendments that the Facilitator classified as substantive are not in the Conservation Loan group. These claims are listed with unique identifiers of numbers 44 through 66 in Exhibit B.

Additionally, at the Monitor's request, the Facilitator has reported that there were approximately sixty-one amended decisions in which changes classified as technical by the Facilitator were made to the decision text or to the relief page of the decision. The Monitor has not had the opportunity to review any of the decisions which the Facilitator has identified as technical amendments. If requested by the Court, the Monitor will provide additional information regarding technical amendments that resulted in changes in relief in a future report. Details of the amendments that resulted or may have resulted in changes to relief are explained below.

<b>Table 1. Amendments That Resulted or May Have Resulted in Changes to Relief</b>	
Conservation Loan Group (resulted in change to relief)	43
Other Amendments Classified as Substantive by Facilitator (resulted in change to relief)	23
Amendments Classified as Technical by Facilitator (may have resulted in change to relief)	61
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>127</b>

### B. Relief Ordered Before and After Amendment

The Court’s Order asked the Monitor to report on what relief, if any, was awarded in each of the substantively amended Adjudicator decisions, and how that relief differed from the relief awarded in any earlier Adjudicator decisions on the same claims. Exhibit B provides that information.

#### 1. Conservation Loan Group

Based on statistics provided by the Facilitator, it appears that in forty-two of the forty-three Conservation Loan group claims described above, the amended Adjudicator decision resulted in an award of non-credit relief. In one of these forty-three claims, the amended Adjudicator decision resulted in an award of credit relief. These changes in relief are described in Exhibit B for each of the claims, which are listed with unique identifiers 1 through 43.

#### 2. Other Substantive Amendments

Based on statistics provided by the Facilitator, it appears that eight of the twenty-three other amendments classified as substantive by the Facilitator involved changes affecting an award of debt relief on the “relief” page of the decision. In three of these twenty-three amendments, the amended Adjudicator decision adds credit or non-credit relief for a claimant

who has already prevailed on a non-credit or credit claim. In twelve of the twenty-three claims, the amended Adjudicator decision reduces the amount of relief by denying relief or by changing credit relief to non-credit relief. These changes in relief are described in Exhibit B for each of the claims, which are listed with unique identifiers 44 through 66.

### *3. Technical Amendments*

The information provided by the Facilitator indicates that sixty-one of the amended Adjudicator decisions classified by the Facilitator as “technical amendments” may have involved changes to the “relief” page of the Adjudicator’s decision or to the text of the decision. The Facilitator has informed the Monitor that there were approximately nine amended Adjudicator decisions that corrected the decision “jacket” (the first and third pages of the decision); approximately eighteen amended Adjudicator decisions that corrected the USDA program or year of the claim listed on the “relief” page (which may affect debt relief); approximately twenty-nine amended Adjudicator decisions that clarified or corrected the text of the Adjudicator’s decision; and approximately five amended Adjudicator decisions that made other changes described by the Facilitator as technical. The Monitor has not had the opportunity to review the decisions identified by the Facilitator as technical amendments. If requested by the Court, the Monitor will provide further information regarding technical amendments that may have affected class members’ relief in a subsequent report to the Court.

### *C. Petitions for Monitor Review*

The Court’s Order asked the Monitor to report about whether the class members who received amended Adjudicator decisions have petitioned for Monitor review on the basis of either the original or the amended decision. The Order further asked the Monitor to report about

the outcome, if any, of Monitor review of any petitions filed by the class members who received amended Adjudicator decisions.

*1. Substantive Amendments*

Twenty-four of the sixty-six class members who received amended Adjudicator decisions classified as substantive by the Facilitator petitioned for Monitor review. Exhibit C provides details about petition activity in these cases. The Monitor notes that some of the petitions remain pending before the Monitor. In addition, where the Monitor has directed reexamination, some of the reexamination decisions remain pending before the Adjudicator.

*2. Technical Amendments*

In addition to the sixty-six amended Adjudicator decisions that were classified as substantive by the Adjudicator, the Facilitator reports that 379 class members received amended Adjudicator decisions that were classified as technical by the Facilitator. Ninety-three of those 379 class members petitioned for Monitor review. Exhibit D provides details about petition activity in those cases. As explained above, some of the petitions remain pending before the Monitor, and some reexamination decisions remain pending before the Adjudicator.

**D. Relief Received by Class Member**

The Court's Order asked the Monitor to report about the relief, if any, that the government has provided to class members whose Adjudicator decisions were substantively amended. Exhibit E provides information about the relief that was provided to the sixty-six class members who received decisions that were classified by the Facilitator as substantive amendments.

#### IV. COPIES OF DECISIONS

The Monitor asked the Facilitator to provide the Monitor with actual and unaltered copies of the original and amended decisions sent to any and all class members who received an amended decision that resulted in substantive changes to the relief awarded in any earlier Adjudicator decision(s) on the same claims. The Monitor received copies of those documents for the sixty-six claims the Facilitator identified as having had at least one substantive amendment, and, simultaneous with the filing of this report, the Monitor is filing those documents under seal.

#### V. MONITOR RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING AMENDED ADJUDICATOR DECISIONS

The Monitor is actively working with the parties to help them to reach agreement about the proper relief for the Hickses' claim as well as for all of the claims in the Conservation Loan group. The parties have made substantial progress toward reaching an agreement that would resolve these claims, and the Monitor is hopeful that a stipulated agreement can be presented to the Court in the near future. The Monitor therefore recommends that the Court direct the Monitor to file an additional report by June 1, 2006, regarding the outcome of the parties' efforts to resolve the Conservation Loan group of claims identified in this report.

The Monitor has not had the opportunity to fully review the information provided by the Facilitator for other claims in which amended decisions were issued or to discuss this information with the parties. As a result, the Monitor does not have any specific recommendations regarding these other claims at this time. Moreover, it has come to the Monitor's attention that there may have been amendments to some Facilitator determinations of class member eligibility. The Monitor will request information from the Facilitator regarding these possible amendments as well. Prior to June 1, 2006, the Monitor will confer with Class Counsel and with the Department of Justice regarding the data provided by the Facilitator for all

of the amended decisions. If the Court would like more detailed information about any of the categories of amended decisions, the Monitor recommends that the Court direct the Monitor to file an additional report containing that information.

Dated: April 7, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Randi Ilyse Roth  
Randi Ilyse Roth  
Monitor  
Post Office Box 64511  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55164-0511  
877-924-7483



Poorman-Douglas Corporation  
10300 SW Allen Blvd.  
Beaverton, OR 97005

## Exhibit A

Tel: 503.350.5800  
Toll Free: 800.547.4407  
Fax: 503.350.5221  
www.poorman-douglas.com

April 6, 2006

Ms. Randi Ilyse Roth  
Office of the Monitor  
P.O. Box 64511  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0511

Re: Facilitator's Report on Amended Adjudication Decisions

Dear Ms. Roth:

I submit this letter to provide general background about the circumstances that gave rise to issuing amended Adjudication decisions early in the *Pigford* claims process for a group of 46 class members who filed Track A claims and checked the "Conservation Loan" box on the Claim Sheet and Election Form. I will also explain background about other Adjudication decisions that have been amended throughout the life of the case; the explanation will include background regarding both substantive amendments and technical amendments. For purposes of this letter, and for the purposes of the data we provided to the Monitor for this Court report, "substantive" amendments are amendments that changed the claimants' relief after review by an Adjudicator. For these purposes, "technical" amendments are amendments that either do not change the claimants' relief or change the relief solely because of the correction of administrative or clerical errors.

To understand the amendments, it is necessary to understand the format of Track A decisions. Each decision is three pages long. The Adjudicator writes the second page, and the Facilitator prepares pages one and three. The first page recites a "boilerplate" summary of the criteria for relief and indicates whether the class member has established that he or she is entitled to relief. The second page is the text of the "Statement of Decision," written by the Adjudicator. The third page, entitled "Relief," summarizes the relief the class member is entitled to receive. The Facilitator uses an automated or programmatic system to prepare the first and third pages of the decision based on the Adjudicator's written "Statement of Decision."

### **Conservation Loan Amendments**

One step in processing payments for prevailing Track A claimants requires that an official of the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) must review each Adjudication

## Exhibit A

decision in which a claimant prevails. DOJ then completes the paperwork required by the United States Department of Treasury for transfer of money from its Judgment Fund to a distribution account managed by the Facilitator.

In the very early stages of developing the payment process and as part of the ordinary course of DOJ's review of decisions to process them for payment, DOJ identified a group of claims in which the relief page provided for "credit relief," but, based on the papers in the file, the Government believed the claims should have been classified as non-credit claims.

The parties discussed this issue. Both parties agreed that the Consent Decree provides that certain conservation claims involving farm benefit programs -- such as the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and the Agricultural Conservation Program (ACP) -- did not involve a credit transaction as defined by the Consent Decree. The parties agreed that such claims were to be provided non-credit relief defined by the Consent Decree in paragraph 9(b) and agreed that if such claims had been granted credit relief, that grant was a mistake. These discussions took place before the Monitor was appointed.

At the direction of the parties, the Facilitator queried the settlement database in January 2000 and identified a list of Adjudication decisions in which (1) the claimants checked the "Conservation Loan" box on the Claim Sheet and Election Form; and, (2) the decision granted credit relief. The claims on this list are referred to in this letter as the "Conservation Loan Group." The Facilitator conducted an initial review of the Claim Sheets and USDA Responses to the Claim Sheets in these files and made recommendations to the Adjudicator about amending the relief granted to comport with the parties' interpretation of the Consent Decree that claims relating to the CRP and ACS programs were non-credit benefit claims. The Adjudicator, based on its understanding that the parties had agreed these mistakes should be corrected, concurred with the recommendations. In 42 of the 46 amended decisions, the relief was changed from credit relief to non-credit relief. In 1 of the 46 amended decisions, the relief was changed from credit and non-credit relief to credit relief. In 3 of the 46 amended decisions, either no change was made or some different kind of change was made.

At the time of this review, the parties had not yet entered into the stipulation that defined the dollar amount of non-credit relief. (The Stipulation and Order that defined the cash portion of non-credit relief as a \$3,000 payment was not issued until February 7, 2001.) Therefore, in the amended decisions issued prior to February 7, 2001, the third page of any decisions granting non-credit relief described the relief as "the amount of the benefit wrongly denied but only to the extent that funds, which may be lawfully used for that purpose, are presently available." (This was the Consent Decree description of the cash component of non-credit relief.)

All amended decisions issued as part of the Conservation Loan Group afforded the claimants a new opportunity to petition for Monitor review.



## **Exhibit A**

### **Other Substantive Amendments**

Apart from the Conservation Loan review described above, there have been 23 other cases in which claimants have received amended decisions in which the amendments were substantive – that is, in which the amendments changed the claimants’ relief after review by the Adjudicator. Typically, these amendments resulted from errors being called to the Facilitator’s attention by: internal quality control audits, contact from a claimant, and/or contact from the government. In some cases, the claimant received more relief in the amended decision – such as the addition of debt relief for a credit claim or the addition of non-credit relief to credit relief. In other cases, the claimant received an amended decision changing credit relief to non-credit relief. The specific amendment for each of the claims is described in the data that we provided to the Monitor.

All amended decisions that affected the claimants’ relief afforded the claimants a new opportunity to petition for Monitor review.

### **Technical Amendments**

In addition to the amendments resulting from the Conservation Loan review and in addition to the other substantive amendments described above, there have been 379 cases in which claimants have received amended decisions in which the amendments were technical – that is, in which the amendments either did not affect the claimants’ relief or affected the claimants’ relief solely because of the correction of administrative or clerical errors. None of these amendments resulted from a substantive re-review by the Adjudicator, or from any agreement of the parties. The majority of these amendments – 327 of the 379 amendments - were made to correct identifying information (social security number, spelling of name, gender salutation, address, etc.).

Other types of administrative errors that necessitated technical amendments included: decision text appearing in the wrong “jacket” (the Adjudicator’s decision text found discrimination and awarded the claimant relief, but the “jacket” -- pages one and three of the decision -- contained the language for a losing decision, or vice-versa); or mis-matched relief (for example, the automated issuance of the relief text on page three of the decision specified the wrong years for debt relief or the wrong type of farm loan program for debt relief).

### **Typicality of Process**

I reviewed the Court’s orders of February 23, 2006, and I understand the concerns that the Court expressed regarding the Conservation Loan amendments. In making those amendments, the Facilitator and the Adjudicator had no intention of circumventing the claims process defined by the Consent Decree and had no intention of compromising the rights of claimants. The amendments were viewed as mechanical or technical in nature. The purpose of amending the relief was to comply with what we understood to be the terms of the Consent Decree and to implement those terms as intended by the parties.

## Exhibit A

I have personally administered hundreds of class action settlements, including many of the most complex settlements in the nation. I have found that in the early stages of implementation it is common for the parties to make agreements about how to interpret Consent Decree terms. With hindsight and an articulation of the Court's concerns one can see how the Conservation Loan amendments were problematic – but at the time the amendments were carried out, my perception was that the neutrals and the parties implemented the amendments to comply with their then-understanding of what was required by the *Pigford* case's detailed and complex Consent Decree.

If I may be of any additional assistance in this regard, please let me know.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Nicole Hamann". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line at the end.

Nicole Hamann  
Director, Client Services

Poorman-Douglas Corporation  
Claims Facilitator

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit B**

Claim Number	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief
	1	9/15/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	2	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	3	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief
	4	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Non-Credit Relief
	5	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	6	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	7	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	8	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	9	11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	10	11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	11	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	12	11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	13	11/3/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	14	11/3/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	15	11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	16	3/16/2000	8/23/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000
	17	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	18	11/10/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	19	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief
	20	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	21	11/12/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	22	11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	23	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	24	11/10/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	25	1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	26	11/12/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	27	1/12/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	28	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	29	12/8/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	30	11/12/1999	11/12/1999	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	31	11/12/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Non-Credit Relief
	32	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	33	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	34	11/24/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	35	1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	36	2/29/2000	7/5/2000	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000
		1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
	37	2/29/2000	10/25/2000	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000

**Pigford v. Johanns  
Amended Adjudication Decisions  
Exhibit B**

Claim Number	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief
		1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
38		1/12/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
39		2/9/2000	5/10/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
40		2/29/2000	8/9/2000	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000
		1/28/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
41		1/28/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
42		2/9/2000	8/23/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
43		2/24/2000	3/28/2001	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
44		9/15/1999	10/12/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief
45		9/14/1999	9/26/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief
46		11/10/1999	10/11/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Denied
47		9/15/1999	11/10/1999	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000, Debt Waiver Relief, and Non-Credit Relief
48		9/15/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief
49		11/1/1999	5/23/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief
50		9/15/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief
51		9/15/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief
52		9/22/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000
53		9/22/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
54		10/11/1999	4/5/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief
55		11/3/1999	11/15/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief
56		10/20/1999	1/3/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
57		10/22/1999	11/24/1999	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
58		1/3/2000	7/11/2001	Denied	\$50,000
59		11/4/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
60		11/4/1999	11/1/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
61		7/11/2001	7/24/2001	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000
62		7/11/2001	7/24/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000
63		2/9/2000	1/24/2001	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
64		1/12/2000	8/23/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief
65		1/24/2001	1/31/2001	Denied	\$50,000
		2/24/2000	1/24/2001	\$50,000	Denied
66		3/21/2001	3/21/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit C**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	1	9/15/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	4/30/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	12/9/2005	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	2	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	3	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	4	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	11/10/2000	USDA & CLASS COUNSEL	7/22/2005	Petition Denied		
	5	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	10/11/2001	Pro Se	1/30/2004	Petition Denied		
	6	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	7	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	8	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	2/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	9/30/2005	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	9	11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	1/16/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	7/10/2003	Petition Denied		
	10	11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	11	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	12	11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	10/20/2000	Pro Se	4/22/2005	Petition Denied		
	13	11/3/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	6/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	10/29/2004	Ordered Re-Examination	12/22/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	14	11/3/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	15	11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	16	3/16/2000	8/23/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	No						
	17	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	18	11/10/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	19	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	20	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	21	11/12/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	22	11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	23	11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	24	11/10/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	25	1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	11/13/2000	Pro Se	9/9/2005	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	26	11/12/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	10/18/2000	Pro Se	10/8/2004	Petition Denied		
	27	1/12/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	28	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	4/7/2000	ATTORNEY - OTHER	10/18/2004	Petition Denied		

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit C**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	29	12/8/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	30	11/12/1999	11/12/1999	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA & CLASS COUNSEL	7/8/2005	Petition Denied		
	31	11/12/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	32	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	2/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	33	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	34	11/24/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	35	1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	36	2/29/2000	7/5/2000	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	No						
		1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief							
	37	2/29/2000	10/25/2000	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	No						
		1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief							
	38	1/12/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	39	2/9/2000	5/10/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	40	2/29/2000	8/9/2000	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	Yes	4/6/2001	USDA	5/10/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	6/20/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
		1/28/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief							
	41	1/28/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	42	2/9/2000	8/23/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	43	2/24/2000	3/28/2001	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	44	9/15/1999	10/12/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	45	9/14/1999	9/26/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Yes	8/30/2000	Pro Se	9/12/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	9/30/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	46	11/10/1999	10/11/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Denied	Yes	12/12/2000	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	47	9/15/1999	11/10/1999	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000, Debt Waiver Relief, and Non-Credit Relief	No						
	48	9/15/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	49	11/1/1999	5/23/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	50	9/15/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns  
Amended Adjudication Decisions  
Exhibit C**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	51	9/15/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	No						
	52	9/22/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000	No						
	53	9/22/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	54	10/11/1999	4/5/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Yes	7/30/2001	USDA	10/8/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	9/26/2003	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	55	11/3/1999	11/15/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	56	10/20/1999	1/3/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	11/10/2000	Pro Se	10/18/2004	Petition Denied		
	57	10/22/1999	11/24/1999	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	5/31/2005	Petition Denied		
	58	1/3/2000	7/11/2001	Denied	\$50,000	No						
	59	11/4/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	9/10/2001	Pro Se	12/9/2005	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	60	11/4/1999	11/1/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	12/15/2000	USDA		Petition Pending		
	61	7/11/2001	7/24/2001	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	No						
	62	7/11/2001	7/24/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000	Yes	10/13/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	5/21/2004	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM APPROVED
	63	2/9/2000	1/24/2001	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	1/10/2003	Petition Denied		
	64	1/12/2000	8/23/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	2/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	7/9/2004	Petition Denied		
	65	1/24/2001	1/31/2001	Denied	\$50,000	Yes	4/6/2001	USDA	7/8/2005	Petition Denied		
		2/24/2000	1/24/2001	\$50,000	Denied							
	66	3/21/2001	3/21/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	5	2/29/2000	6/14/2001	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	10/11/2001	Pro Se	1/30/2004	Petition Denied		
	8	5/9/2001	6/14/2001	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	2/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	9/30/2005	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
		2/29/2000	5/9/2001	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief							
	19	2/29/2000	6/14/2001	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	40	8/9/2000	1/24/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	4/6/2001	USDA	5/10/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	6/20/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	41	2/29/2000	1/24/2001	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	59	2/29/2000	6/14/2001	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	9/10/2001	Pro Se	12/9/2005	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	60	11/1/2000	11/8/2000	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	12/15/2000	USDA		Petition Pending		
	61	11/19/1999	7/11/2001	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	No						
	62	11/5/1999	7/11/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Yes	10/13/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	5/21/2004	Ordered Re-Examination	1/7/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	63	1/24/2001	6/14/2001	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	1/10/2003	Petition Denied		
	66	3/21/2001	3/21/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	67	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	10/25/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	1/21/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	68	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	69	1/3/2000	2/29/2000	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	70	11/4/1999	8/23/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	71	10/22/1999	10/22/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/15/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	12/28/2001	Ordered Re-Examination	2/18/2004	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	72	9/15/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	Denied	Yes	11/10/2000	Pro Se	10/31/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	12/31/2003	RE-EXAM DENIED
	73	1/14/2000	1/14/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	74	10/29/1999	10/29/1999	Denied	Denied	No						
	75	9/15/1999	7/8/2002	Denied	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	76	10/29/1999	10/29/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	77	11/1/1999	12/20/1999	Denied	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						



**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	78	11/1/1999	11/1/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	10/18/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	5/31/2001	Ordered Re-Examination	7/12/2002	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	79	9/14/1999	9/14/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/15/2000	ATTORNEY - OTHER	11/27/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	3/25/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	80	9/15/1999	11/10/1999	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	11/8/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	10/31/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	1/7/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	81	10/11/1999	10/11/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	4/27/2001	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	82	10/11/1999	11/5/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	83	9/15/1999	5/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	84	9/14/1999	12/1/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	85	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	2/13/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	7/31/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	2/11/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	86	9/22/1999	9/22/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	87	11/19/1999	12/1/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/14/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	6/27/2001	Ordered Re-Examination	10/17/2003	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	88	11/3/1999	11/3/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	89	12/1/1999	12/1/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	3/21/2003	Petition Denied		
	90	10/11/1999	10/11/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	91	10/20/1999	10/20/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	92	10/11/1999	10/24/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	93	10/11/1999	4/19/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Yes	11/13/2000	Pro Se	2/28/2003	Petition Denied		
	94	10/29/1999	10/29/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	95	10/22/1999	11/8/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	96	10/22/1999	10/22/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	97	11/19/1999	11/19/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	6/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	4/30/2004	Ordered Re-Examination	8/19/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	98	10/22/1999	12/1/1999	\$50,000	Denied	Yes	10/5/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	6/26/2001	Ordered Re-Examination	10/17/2003	RE-EXAM APPROVED

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	99	10/22/1999	10/22/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	11/9/2000	Pro Se	12/12/2003	Petition Denied		
	100	10/22/1999	10/22/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	6/22/2001	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	101	11/5/1999	4/26/2000	\$50,000	Denied	Yes	8/17/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	5/23/2003	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	102	11/5/1999	10/21/2005	Denied	Denied	Yes	2/18/2006	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	103	11/3/1999	5/9/2001	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	104	11/5/1999	11/5/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	9/7/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	11/30/2005	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	105	10/22/1999	10/22/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	106	11/1/1999	11/1/1999	Denied	Denied	No						
	107	10/22/1999	3/17/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	108	10/22/1999	3/17/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	109	10/22/1999	10/22/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/4/2000	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	110	10/20/1999	11/12/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	111	10/26/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	112	10/26/1999	10/26/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	113	10/26/1999	10/26/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	114	11/5/1999	11/5/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	115	10/22/1999	10/22/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	116	10/20/1999	10/20/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	117	11/5/1999	11/5/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/15/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	1/10/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	7/29/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	118	12/20/1999	12/20/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	119	11/12/1999	11/12/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	8/31/2001	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	120	10/29/1999	10/29/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	121	11/3/1999	1/28/2000	Denied	\$50,000	No						
	122	11/3/1999	11/3/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	123	11/12/1999	11/12/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	124	11/3/1999	11/3/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	125	10/29/1999	10/24/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
		10/24/2001	11/15/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief							
	126	11/1/1999	11/1/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	127	11/1/1999	11/1/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	3/16/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	5/10/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	1/9/2003	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	128	12/20/1999	12/20/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	129	2/24/2000	5/23/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	130	11/1/1999	1/28/2000	Denied	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	131	1/3/2000	1/3/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	8/17/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	7/9/2004	Petition Denied		
	132	2/24/2000	5/23/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	133	11/4/1999	11/4/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	134	11/2/1999	7/11/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
		7/11/2001	8/30/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief							
	135	11/1/1999	11/1/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	136	11/3/1999	7/11/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	137	11/1/1999	8/30/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Yes	8/24/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	12/31/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	3/12/2004	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	138	11/4/1999	11/4/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	6/22/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	9/12/2003	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	139	1/3/2000	1/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	140	11/1/1999	11/1/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	11/13/2000	Pro Se	2/14/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	4/29/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	141	11/4/1999	11/4/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	142	11/3/1999	11/3/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	143	11/5/1999	5/23/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	144	8/30/2000	8/30/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/28/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	8/9/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	3/12/2004	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	145	11/3/1999	11/3/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	146	11/4/1999	11/4/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	147	7/5/2000	7/5/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	11/9/2000	Pro Se	7/31/2003	Petition Denied		
	148	12/20/1999	12/20/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	149	11/4/1999	11/24/1999	Denied	\$50,000	No						
	150	11/4/1999	7/9/2002	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	151	11/29/2000	11/29/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	152	11/10/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	153	1/3/2000	1/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	154	11/5/1999	7/11/2001	Denied	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	155	12/20/1999	12/20/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/15/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	2/3/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	4/1/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	156	3/16/2000	3/16/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	157	12/8/1999	12/20/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/17/1999	Pro Se	4/18/2003	Petition Denied		
	158	11/12/1999	11/12/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	159	11/19/1999	11/19/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	160	11/24/1999	11/24/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	161	11/5/1999	11/8/2001	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	No						
	162	11/12/1999	1/24/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	163	11/5/1999	11/5/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	164	2/29/2000	9/20/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	165	8/2/2000	8/2/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	166	11/5/1999	11/5/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	167	2/29/2000	2/29/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	168	11/4/1999	1/14/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	169	11/4/1999	11/4/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	170	5/10/2000	5/10/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	171	6/14/2000	9/6/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	172	12/20/1999	12/20/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/15/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	6/19/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	9/16/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	173	11/12/1999	11/12/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	174	5/31/2000	5/31/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	175	11/5/1999	11/5/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	176	11/12/1999	5/31/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	177	12/1/1999	12/1/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	3/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	178	11/19/1999	8/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	179	11/3/1999	11/3/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	6/23/2000	ATTORNEY - OTHER	10/31/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	1/14/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	180	3/16/2000	3/16/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	10/12/2000	ATTORNEY - OTHER	3/14/2003	Petition Denied		
	181	12/1/1999	12/1/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	2/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	12/23/2005	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	182	12/1/1999	12/1/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	1/16/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	1/9/2004	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	183	11/19/1999	3/16/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	184	1/12/2000	1/14/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	185	11/1/1999	11/1/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	186	11/5/1999	8/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	187	11/19/1999	11/19/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	188	11/5/1999	11/15/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	189	11/5/1999	4/6/2000	Non-Credit Relief	Denied	Yes	5/15/2000	Pro Se	10/29/2004	Petition Denied		
	190	11/12/1999	11/12/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	191	7/5/2000	7/5/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	192	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	1/16/2001	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	193	11/12/1999	8/23/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	3/8/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	11/26/2003	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	194	5/24/2000	5/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	2/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	2/28/2005	Petition Denied		
	195	11/12/1999	11/12/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	196	4/5/2000	4/5/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	3/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	12/10/2004	Ordered Re-Examination	11/14/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	197	11/5/1999	11/5/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	198	5/9/2001	5/9/2001	Denied	Denied	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	199	12/1/1999	12/8/1999	\$50,000	Denied	Yes	5/16/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	8/29/2003	Petition Denied		
	200	11/12/1999	11/12/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	201	1/28/2000	1/28/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	202	5/31/2000	7/11/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	203	12/8/1999	1/28/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	3/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	8/31/2004	Petition Denied		
	204	2/24/2000	4/5/2000	\$50,000	Denied	Yes	9/13/2001	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	205	12/8/1999	12/8/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	206	1/12/2000	8/9/2000	\$50,000	Denied	No						
	207	1/3/2000	1/3/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	9/13/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	5/9/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	5/31/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	208	5/24/2000	5/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	209	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	210	11/19/1999	11/19/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/13/2000	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	211	1/20/2000	1/20/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	212	11/19/1999	11/19/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	213	12/20/1999	12/20/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	9/13/2001	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	214	12/20/1999	12/20/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	215	1/20/2000	1/20/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	9/14/2000	Pro Se	8/16/2002	Petition Denied		
	216	8/16/2000	8/30/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
		8/2/2000	8/16/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief							
	217	11/19/1999	12/1/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	218	7/5/2000	7/5/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	219	11/19/1999	11/19/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	220	11/24/1999	11/24/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	221	5/17/2000	5/17/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	222	5/17/2000	5/17/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	4/30/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	2/3/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	3/29/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	223	4/26/2000	4/26/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	224	11/24/1999	11/24/1999	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/15/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	11/18/2005	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	225	7/5/2000	9/27/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	226	1/3/2000	1/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	227	4/14/2000	4/14/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	5/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	1/9/2004	Petition Denied		
	228	1/3/2000	1/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	229	1/14/2000	1/14/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	230	1/3/2000	3/16/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	No						
	231	5/17/2000	5/17/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	232	1/14/2000	1/14/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	233	1/3/2000	1/3/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	234	5/17/2000	5/17/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	235	5/17/2000	5/17/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	236	1/14/2000	1/14/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	237	12/20/1999	12/20/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	238	1/14/2000	1/14/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	239	12/20/1999	12/20/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	240	5/31/2000	5/31/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	241	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	No						
	242	5/31/2000	8/16/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	243	3/22/2000	3/22/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	12/14/2001	Petition Denied		
	244	1/20/2000	1/20/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	245	1/3/2000	1/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	246	1/14/2000	1/14/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	247	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	248	6/7/2000	6/7/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	249	6/7/2000	6/7/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	250	5/3/2000	5/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	251	3/22/2000	3/22/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	5/31/2002	Petition Denied		
	252	4/19/2000	4/19/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	253	4/26/2000	4/26/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	254	5/10/2000	5/16/2001	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	2/18/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	8/12/2005	Petition Denied		
	255	1/3/2000	1/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	10/25/2000	Pro Se	12/19/2003	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	256	4/12/2000	4/12/2000	Denied	Denied	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	257	2/29/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	258	1/20/2000	1/20/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	259	1/12/2000	1/14/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	260	12/6/2000	1/10/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	261	4/12/2000	4/12/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	262	1/12/2000	1/14/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	263	1/28/2000	5/2/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	264	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	265	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	266	1/12/2000	5/10/2000	Denied	\$50,000	No						
	267	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	268	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	269	10/18/2000	10/19/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	270	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	271	3/29/2000	4/26/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	272	6/21/2000	6/21/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	273	3/17/2000	3/17/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	274	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	275	8/23/2000	8/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	276	1/28/2000	4/5/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	277	8/30/2000	8/30/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	No						
	278	7/5/2000	7/5/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	8/10/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	10/31/2003	Petition Denied		
	279	5/17/2000	5/17/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	7/25/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	11/8/2002	Petition Denied		
	280	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	281	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	282	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	283	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	284	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	285	1/28/2000	1/28/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	286	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	9/18/2000	Pro Se	10/28/2005	Petition Denied		
	287	2/24/2000	5/23/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						



**Pigford v. Johanns  
Amended Adjudication Decisions  
Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	288	2/15/2000	2/15/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	6/15/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	4/22/2005	Ordered Re-Examination	2/17/2006	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	289	6/14/2000	6/14/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	8/17/2001	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	290	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	291	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/6/2000	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	292	8/23/2000	8/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	293	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	294	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	295	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	296	2/29/2000	2/29/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	8/24/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	7/10/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	1/7/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	297	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	298	12/6/2000	1/10/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	299	2/24/2000	11/8/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	300	7/5/2000	7/5/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	301	6/7/2000	1/17/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	302	2/15/2000	2/15/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	303	2/29/2000	2/29/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/15/2000	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	304	3/17/2000	3/17/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	305	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	306	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/14/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	1/30/2004	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	307	12/13/2000	12/13/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	308	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	309	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	310	2/15/2000	8/30/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	311	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	11/10/2000	USDA	11/21/2001	Petition Denied		
	312	11/8/2000	11/15/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
		9/6/2000	11/1/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief							
		11/1/2000	11/8/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief							

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	313	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/15/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	3/13/2002	Petition Denied		
	314	2/24/2000	5/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	315	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	316	12/6/2000	12/6/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	317	12/6/2000	1/10/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	318	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	319	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	320	8/3/2000	8/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	321	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	322	4/26/2000	4/26/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	323	2/29/2000	11/2/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	7/8/2005	Petition Denied		
	324	6/28/2000	6/28/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	325	5/3/2000	5/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	326	8/23/2000	8/24/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	327	3/22/2000	3/22/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	328	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	329	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	330	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	331	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	332	5/10/2000	5/10/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	333	12/6/2000	1/10/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	334	2/29/2000	2/29/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	11/13/2000	Pro Se	1/31/2002	Ordered Re-Examination	3/18/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	335	2/9/2000	2/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	336	3/29/2000	3/29/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	337	2/29/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	338	3/22/2000	3/22/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	339	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	340	2/24/2000	2/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	341	6/7/2000	6/7/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	342	4/5/2000	4/5/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	343	4/5/2000	4/5/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns  
Amended Adjudication Decisions  
Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	344	6/28/2000	6/28/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	5/31/2005	Petition Denied		
	345	3/22/2000	3/22/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	12/15/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	8/12/2005	Petition Denied		
	346	1/3/2001	1/3/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	4/6/2001	USDA	8/9/2002	Petition Denied		
	347	8/9/2000	5/9/2001	Denied	Denied	No						
	348	4/19/2000	4/19/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	11/13/2000	Pro Se	6/10/2005	Petition Denied		
	349	9/27/2000	9/27/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	12/15/2000	USDA	1/9/2002	Petition Denied		
	350	3/17/2000	3/17/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	351	7/5/2000	7/5/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	No						
	352	3/17/2000	3/17/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	353	5/24/2000	5/24/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	2/8/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	9/5/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	9/17/2004	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	354	6/14/2000	7/5/2000	Denied	\$50,000	No						
	355	4/12/2000	4/19/2000	Denied	\$50,000	No						
	356	6/21/2000	6/21/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	357	3/17/2000	3/17/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	358	4/5/2000	4/5/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	9/13/2000	Pro Se	2/3/2003	Ordered Re-Examination	5/20/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	359	3/29/2000	3/29/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	9/12/2000	Pro Se	12/31/2003	Petition Denied		
	360	3/17/2000	3/17/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	361	3/29/2000	3/29/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	362	6/21/2000	6/21/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	363	3/29/2000	8/24/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	364	4/5/2000	4/5/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	365	3/17/2000	3/17/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	366	3/17/2000	3/17/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	11/9/2000	Pro Se		Petition Pending		
	367	3/22/2000	3/22/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	368	5/3/2000	8/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	369	9/13/2000	9/13/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	370	3/17/2000	5/23/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	371	4/26/2000	4/26/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	372	1/10/2001	1/10/2001	Denied	Denied	No						
	373	4/5/2000	4/5/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	374	12/13/2000	8/8/2003	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	375	8/16/2000	8/16/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	376	7/5/2000	7/5/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	6/22/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	6/10/2005	Ordered Re-Examination		RE-EXAM PENDING
	377	4/26/2000	4/26/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	7/7/2000	CLASS COUNSEL	4/30/2001	Ordered Re-Examination	11/15/2002	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	378	8/8/2001	8/10/2004	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
		7/11/2001	8/8/2001	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief							
	379	11/29/2000	11/29/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	380	5/10/2000	5/10/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	381	6/21/2000	6/21/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	382	4/19/2000	4/19/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	383	12/6/2000	12/6/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	1/30/2001	USDA	3/14/2003	Petition Denied		
	384	8/8/2001	10/24/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	385	5/10/2000	5/10/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	386	3/29/2000	3/29/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	387	12/6/2000	1/10/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	388	5/10/2000	5/10/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	9/14/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	2/6/2004	Ordered Re-Examination	6/20/2005	RE-EXAM APPROVED
	389	9/13/2000	5/9/2001	Denied	Denied	No						
	390	8/30/2000	5/9/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	391	7/11/2001	10/24/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	392	8/2/2000	8/2/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	11/30/2000	Pro Se	2/27/2004	Petition Denied		
	393	5/10/2000	5/10/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	5/16/2001	CLASS COUNSEL	10/31/2003	Petition Denied		
	394	7/11/2001	10/24/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	395	4/25/2001	4/25/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	396	9/13/2000	5/9/2001	Denied	Denied	No						
	397	7/24/2001	7/24/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	398	11/8/2000	11/8/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	No						
	399	4/5/2000	4/5/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	400	7/11/2001	10/24/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns  
Amended Adjudication Decisions  
Exhibit D**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Date Petition Filed	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Date	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Date	Re-Examination Outcome
	401	5/16/2001	5/16/2001	Denied	Denied	Yes	9/13/2001	CLASS COUNSEL		Petition Pending		
	402	3/22/2000	3/22/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	403	5/17/2000	5/17/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	404	7/5/2000	7/5/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	405	8/2/2000	8/2/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	406	8/3/2000	8/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	407	7/5/2000	7/5/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	408	8/3/2000	8/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	409	12/6/2000	1/10/2001	Denied	Denied	No						
	410	9/27/2000	9/27/2000	Denied	Denied	No						
	411	4/12/2000	4/12/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	412	6/7/2000	6/7/2000	Denied	Denied	Yes	9/12/2000	Pro Se	2/24/2003	Petition Denied		
	413	10/12/2000	10/12/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	414	8/3/2000	8/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	415	8/9/2000	8/9/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	416	6/7/2000	6/7/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	11/9/2000	USDA	2/19/2002	Petition Denied		
	417	12/6/2000	1/10/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	418	5/3/2000	5/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	419	9/13/2000	9/13/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	420	5/16/2001	5/16/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	8/27/2001	USDA	10/8/2004	Petition Denied		
	421	5/17/2000	5/2/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	422	9/27/2000	10/11/2000	Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No						
	423	8/3/2000	8/3/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	424	10/4/2000	10/4/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No						
	425	7/11/2001	7/11/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	426	12/20/2000	8/10/2004	\$50,000	\$50,000	Yes	4/6/2001	USDA	7/12/2002	Petition Denied		
	427	9/20/2000	9/20/2000	\$50,000	\$50,000	No						
	428	2/7/2001	6/14/2001	Denied	Denied	Yes	7/7/2001	Pro Se	8/29/2003	Petition Denied		
	429	7/11/2001	10/24/2001	Debt Waiver and Non Credit	Debt Waiver and Non-Credit	No						

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit E**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Outcome	Cash Relief	Principal Debt Relief	Tax Relief
1		9/15/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	CLASS COUNSEL	Ordered Re-Examination	RE-EXAM PENDING	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	USDA & CLASS COUNSEL	Petition Denied		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	Pro Se	Petition Denied		\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	CLASS COUNSEL	Ordered Re-Examination	RE-EXAM PENDING	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
9		11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	CLASS COUNSEL	Petition Denied		\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
10		11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
11		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12		11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	Pro Se	Petition Denied		\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
13		11/3/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	CLASS COUNSEL	Ordered Re-Examination	RE-EXAM APPROVED	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14		11/3/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15		11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
16		3/16/2000	8/23/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	No				\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
17		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
18		11/10/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
19		12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
20		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
21		11/12/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
22		11/1/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
23		11/5/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
24		11/10/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$53,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
25		1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	Pro Se	Ordered Re-Examination	RE-EXAM PENDING	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
26		11/12/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	Pro Se	Petition Denied		\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
27		1/12/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit E**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Outcome	Cash Relief	Principal Debt Relief	Tax Relief
	28	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	ATTORNEY - OTHER	Petition Denied		\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	29	12/8/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	30	11/12/1999	11/12/1999	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	USDA & CLASS COUNSEL	Petition Denied		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	31	11/12/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	32	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	CLASS COUNSEL	Petition Pending		\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	33	12/20/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	34	11/24/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	35	1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	36	2/29/2000	7/5/2000	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	No				\$53,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
		1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief							
	37	2/29/2000	10/25/2000	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	No				\$53,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
		1/3/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief							
	38	1/12/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	39	2/9/2000	5/10/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	40	2/29/2000	8/9/2000	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	Yes	USDA	Ordered Re-Examination	RE-EXAM APPROVED	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
		1/28/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief							
	41	1/28/2000	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	42	2/9/2000	8/23/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	43	2/24/2000	3/28/2001	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	44	9/15/1999	10/12/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No				\$50,000.00	\$168,000.00	\$54,500.00
	45	9/14/1999	9/26/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Yes	Pro Se	Ordered Re-Examination	RE-EXAM APPROVED	\$50,000.00	\$15,084.91	\$16,271.23
	46	11/10/1999	10/11/2000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Denied	Yes	CLASS COUNSEL	Petition Pending		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	47	9/15/1999	11/10/1999	Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000, Debt Waiver Relief, and Non-Credit Relief	No				\$53,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
	48	9/15/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	49	11/1/1999	5/23/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No				\$50,000.00	\$83,000.00	\$33,250.00

**Pigford v. Johanns**  
**Amended Adjudication Decisions**  
**Exhibit E**

Claim No.	Unique Claimant ID Number	Original Decision Date	Amended Decision Date	Original Relief	Amended Relief	Petition Filed: Yes/No	Source of Petition	Monitor Decision Outcome	Re-Examination Outcome	Cash Relief	Principal Debt Relief	Tax Relief
	50	9/15/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	No				\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
	51	9/15/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	No				\$53,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
	52	9/22/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000	No				\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
	53	9/22/1999	11/10/1999	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	No				\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	54	10/11/1999	4/5/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	Yes	USDA	Ordered Re-Examination	RE-EXAM APPROVED	\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
	55	11/3/1999	11/15/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No				\$50,000.00	\$41,966.71	\$22,991.68
	56	10/20/1999	1/3/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	Pro Se	Petition Denied		\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	57	10/22/1999	11/24/1999	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	USDA	Petition Denied		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	58	1/3/2000	7/11/2001	Denied	\$50,000	No				\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
	59	11/4/1999	2/29/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	Pro Se	Ordered Re-Examination	RE-EXAM PENDING	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	60	11/4/1999	11/1/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	USDA	Petition Pending		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	61	7/11/2001	7/24/2001	\$50,000 and Non-Credit Relief	\$50,000	No				\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
	62	7/11/2001	7/24/2001	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	\$50,000	Yes	CLASS COUNSEL	Ordered Re-Examination	RE-EXAM APPROVED	\$50,000.00	\$124,160.97	\$43,540.24
	63	2/9/2000	1/24/2001	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	USDA	Petition Denied		\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	64	1/12/2000	8/23/2000	\$50,000	Non-Credit Relief	Yes	CLASS COUNSEL	Petition Denied		\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	65	1/24/2001	1/31/2001	Denied	\$50,000	Yes	USDA	Petition Denied		\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,500.00
		2/24/2000	1/24/2001	\$50,000	Denied							
	66	3/21/2001	3/21/2001	\$50,000	\$50,000 and Debt Waiver Relief	No				\$50,000.00	\$31,565.13	\$20,391.28